



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/538,537

06/10/2005

Christoph Raisch

DE920020035US1

4243

29683 7590 05/14/2008  
HARRINGTON & SMITH, PC  
4 RESEARCH DRIVE  
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, MINH TRANG T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

4134

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/14/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/538,537	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RAISCH, CHRISTOPH	
	<b>Examiner</b> MINH-TRANG NGUYEN	<b>Art Unit</b> 4134	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06/10/2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21-23, 25, 26 and 29-43 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21-23, 25-26 and 29-43 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 June 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/10/2005</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 21, 22, 25, 26, 29, 30 and 32-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen et al [2004/0233910 A1].

As to claim 21, Chen et al disclose a method to operate a storage area network (SAN) in a server environment in which multiple operating system images (see Fig., 2B, paragraph [0037], e.g., Solaris, Linux, NT) share one Fibre Channel adapter (see Fig., 2B, paragraph [0037]), the method comprising:

managing the SAN by a SAN Management software with at least a SAN Management server (see Fig., 2B, item 240, paragraph [0037]) and at least a SAN Management client (see Fig., 2B, item 210, paragraph [0037]) with a communication path to said Fibre Channel Adapter (see Fig., 2B, item 170, paragraph [0037]);

separating requests issued by the SAN Management server into at least two groups (see Fig. 5, 6), a first group is processed by the Fibre Channel adapter and the SAN on behalf of the SM Management client in place of operating system images which share the same Fibre Channel Adapter (see Fig. 5, paragraph [0059]), corresponding to a trusted path, and a second group is processed by the operating system images without the

Art Unit: 4134

need to send or receive requests to or from the Fibre Channel adapter and the SAN(see Fig. 6, paragraph [0060]) .

As to **claim 22**, Chen et al further disclose that routing all information contained in unsolicited messages generated in the SAN and Fibre Channel adapter to the SAN Management server by the SAN management client (see paragraph [0055]).

As to **claim 25**, Chen et al further disclose that accessing all information relevant for billing individual operating system images generated in the Fibre Channel adapter and SAN only through the SAN Management client on the trusted path (see paragraph [0055]).

As to **claim 26**, Chen et al further disclose that SAN Management server providing authorization data to the SAN Management client to execute requests from said first group, and said SAN Management server and SAN Management client providing authorization data to the OS images to execute requests from said second group, and operating the OS images so that they are only enabled to execute a limited command set in the SAN (see Fig. 4, paragraph [0044]).

As to **claim 29**, see similar rejection as to claim 21.

As to **claim 30**, see similar rejection as to claim 22.

As to **claim 32**, see similar rejection as to claim 25.

As to **claim 33**, see similar rejection as to claim 25.

As to **claim 34**, see similar rejection as to claim 26.

As to **claim 35**, see similar rejection as to claim 26.

As to **claim 36**, see similar rejection as to claim 26.

Art Unit: 4134

As to **claim 37**, Chen et al further disclose that the SAN Management server is equipped with a SAN Management Client and a Remote Access Server (RA Server), further comprising an operation of maintaining authorization data for accessing the RA Server in at least one of the SAN Management server and the SAN Management Client (see paragraph [0035]-[0039]).

As to **claim 38**, Chen et al further disclose an operation of operating the SAN Management Client as a router for requests from the SAN Management server to the RA server (see paragraph [0035]-[0039]).

As to **claim 39**, Chen et al further disclose that the RA Server comprises a telnet/sshd server (see paragraph [0035]-[0039]).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 23 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al [2004/0233910 A1] in view of Rabe et al [7,194,538].

As to **claim 23**, Chen et al disclose all claim limitations mentioned above with respect to claim 21.

Chen et al do not expressly disclose that using Host Bus Adapter Application Program Interface binding requests to modify a firewall, and operating the

Art Unit: 4134

communication path from the SAN Management client to the Fibre Channel adapter so that it cannot be modified or eavesdropped by an operating system image.

Rabe et al disclose the above recited limitations (see Figs. 9, 10, col. 27, lines 46 to col. 28, line 31).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to incorporate the teaching of Rabe et al into Chen et al. The suggestion/motivation would have been to provide a secure storage from storage devices to hosts within the SAN as suggested by Rabe et al on col. 26, lines 57-59.

As to **claim 31**, see similar rejection as to claim 23.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claim 40-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iwatani [2001/0054093].

As to **claim 40**, Iwatani discloses a storage area network (SAN) Management server (see Fig. 3, item 500, paragraph [0052]), comprising:

a first interface to couple to a SAN Management client that comprises a trusted operating system (OS), said SAN Management client being coupled to a SAN via a SAN adapter (see Fig. 5, items 102, 301, paragraphs [0052]-[0055]); and

Art Unit: 4134

a second interface to couple to at least one untrusted operating system, said at least one untrusted OS being coupled to the SAN via the SAN adapter and via a unit for regulating access to the SAN (see paragraph [0055]);

where said SAN Management server comprises logic for distinguishing a first set of requests from a second set of requests, where the first set of requests are processed in cooperation only with said SAN Management client, and where the second set of requests are processed at least in part by the at least one untrusted operating system (see paragraphs [0060]-0066)).

As to **claim 41**, Iwatani discloses that said first set of requests comprise at least one of a SAN request and a SAN adapter request (see paragraphs [0060]-0062]), and said second set of requests comprise a request for untrusted OS configuration data (see paragraphs [0065]-0066)) .

As to **claim 42**, Iwatani discloses a storage area network (SAN) Management client, comprising:

a trusted operating system (OS) (see Fig. 3, items 120, 301, paragraphs [0053]-[0054]);

a first interface to couple to a SAN via a SAN adapter (see Fig. 3, paragraph [0048]); and

a second interface to couple to a SAN Management server and to at least one untrusted operating system coupled to the SAN via the SAN adapter and via a controller for regulating access to said SAN (see Fig. 3, paragraphs [0048], [0052], [0066]);

said SAN Management client comprising logic for processing a first set of requests identified by the SAN Management server in cooperation only with said SAN

Art Unit: 4134

Management server, where a second set of requests identified by the SAN Management server are processed at least in part by the at least one untrusted OS (see paragraphs [0060]-0066)); where said first set of requests comprise at least one of a SAN request and a SAN adapter request (see paragraphs [0060]-0062)), where said second set of requests comprise a request for untrusted OS configuration data (see paragraphs [0065]-0066)).

As to **claim 43**, Iwatani discloses that the controller operates on top of said trusted operating system of said SAN Management client (see paragraphs [0048], [0052], [0060]-0062)).

### ***Conclusion***

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Yamamoto [2003/0208589] and Padovano [2002/0156984] disclose various storage area networks (SAN).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH-TRANG NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)270-5248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:30AM to 5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lun-Yi Lao can be reached on 571-272-7671. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Art Unit: 4134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MINH-TRANG NGUYEN/  
Examiner, Art Unit 4134

/M. N./  
Examiner, Art Unit 4134

/LUN-YI LAO/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4134

Art Unit: 4134